

**DRAFT**

Minutes of the meeting of the  
**Guildford LOCAL COMMITTEE**  
held at 7.00 pm on 13 March 2013  
at St Peter's Centre, Ash, GU12 6LU.

**Surrey County Council Members:**

- \* Mr Mark Brett-Warburton (Chairman)
- Mr Graham Ellwood (Vice-Chairman)
- \* Mr W D Barker OBE
- \* Simon Gimson
- \* Mr David Goodwin
- \* Mrs Marsha Moseley
- \* Mrs Pauline Searle
- \* Mr Keith Taylor
- \* Mrs Fiona White
- \* Mr Keith Witham

**Borough / District Members:**

- Borough Councillor Mark Chapman
- Borough Councillor Monika Juneja
- \* Borough Councillor Lockyer-Knibbs
- \* Borough Councillor Nigel Manning
- \* Borough Councillor Bob McShee
- \* Borough Councillor James Palmer
- \* Borough Councillor Tony Phillips
- \* Borough Councillor Caroline Reeves
- Borough Councillor Tony Rooth
- \* Borough Councillor David Wright

\* In attendance

---

**45/12 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]**

Apologies were received from County Councillor Graham Ellwood and Ward Councillors, Monika Juneja, Mark Chapman and Tony Rooth. There were no substitutions.

**46/12 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]**

The minutes of the meeting held on 28 November 2012 were confirmed.

**47/12 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]**

Councillor Fiona White said that with regard to Items 13 and 14 she was a Trustee of the Barn Youth Project.

#### **48/12 PETITIONS [Item 4]**

Mr James Dennis spoke to the petition that requested measures be put in place to reduce the speed of vehicles travelling on Gole Road. The meeting heard the petition had been supported by all of the residents living on the road. The petition was further supported by Councillor Witham. The formal response to the petition proposed the SCC design team incorporate the request in phase 2 of the Pirbright Village safety scheme study. This proposal was well received.

#### **49/12 MEMBER QUESTION TIME [Item 6]**

Councillor Bob McShee asked if it could be possible to provide a direct train service between Guildford and Farnham. Councillor McShee was content with the written response which explained that the county council was in the process of consulting with all stakeholders to develop a new rail strategy.

#### **50/12 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME [Item 5]**

Mr Hattersley asked about the implementation of a 40mph speed limit on the A246 from Effingham to West Horsley. The meeting heard that the speed limit would be in effect between Effingham and East Horsley by the end of March.

Mr Shatwell asked if the county council had fulfilled its duty to protect the public by closing footpath 52 where a bridge had become unsafe. Mr Shatwell spoke in response to the formal written answer to ask if the county council might build a replacement bridge. Councillor Keith Taylor explained to the meeting that the situation involved a complex legal situation between the council and a private landowner. He said that as the bridge had been closed to pedestrians the public had been safeguarded and that he would be monitoring the situation closely.

Gaynor White was not in attendance at the meeting to receive the formal written response to her question.

#### **51/12 MEMBERS LOCAL ALLOCATIONS [Item 7]**

The item was presented by the Community Partnerships Team Leader (West). A tabled paper was received by the committee detailing additional bids along with a revised balance sheet.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. the items presented for funding from the Local Committee's 2012/13 revenue and capital funding as set out in paragraph 2 of the committee report, including the bid set out in the tabled document (Bids 2.1 – 2.18 in total)

- ii. to note the expenditure approved since the last Committee by the Community Partnerships Manager and the Community Partnerships Team Leader under delegated powers, as set out in paragraph 3 of the committee report (including the additional bids identified in the tabled item).
- iii. To note the return of funding to the Members' Allocation budget from projects previously agreed, as detailed in paragraph 4 of the committee report.

Reason

The spending proposals put forward for this meeting had been assessed against the County standards for appropriateness and value for money and the local committee agreed that they should be approved.

**52/12 REVIEW OF GUILDFORD TOWN CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE [Item 8]**

The item was presented by the borough council's On-Street Parking Co-ordinator. The paper detailed the outcomes of consultations undertaken to assess the need to implement new parking controls in Dene Road, St Luke's and Onslow Village.

Both Councillor Goodwin and Councillor Phillips had received representation relating to roads in Onslow Village prior to the meeting. Councillor Goodwin proposed that specific named roads in Onslow Village should be subject to further consultation in order to better understand the needs of the local communities. This was seconded by Councillor White and supported by Councillor Phillips. An amended recommendation was approved by the committee.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed;

- i. to formally advertise the intention to make an order to give effect to the draft proposals recently consulted upon in the Dene Road area (Annexe 7);
- ii. to formally advertise the intention to make an order to give effect to the draft proposals recently consulted upon in the St Luke's development, as revised (Annexe 8);
- iii. to consult on the design for an extended Controlled Parking Zone in the roads listed below\* as set out in Annexe 10; and, following consultation, delegate the Parking Strategy and Implementation Manager, the Chairman of the Local Committee and the divisional and ward councillors for the area to make any necessary amendments to the design before formally advertising the intention to make an order;

(\*Bannisters Road (part not already within CPZ), Ellis Avenue, Litchfield Way (part not already within CPZ), Manor Way (part), Orchard Road, The Crossways (part not already within CPZ), The Square, Vicarage Gate, West Meads (part not already within CPZ) and Wilderness Road)

- iv. to formally advertise the intention to make an order to give effect to the draft proposals for limited controls in Onslow Village (Annexe 9);
- v. to formally advertise the intention to make an order to give effect to the changes necessary to introduce various new formalised disabled only parking places and accommodate various recently constructed vehicle crossovers (Annexe 11);
- vi. to formally advertise the intention to make an order to give effect to the various other changes listed in Annexe 12, in order to increase the availability of space, its prioritisation for various user groups, improve safety, access and traffic flow; and
- vii. to make the order if there are no unresolved objections, or if there are objections, to report these to a future meeting of Guildford Local Committee.

#### Reason

The committee agreed the consultations and proposals in the recommendations best reflected the parking control needs of the local areas.

#### **53/12 BYWAY OPEN TO ALL TRAFFIC 521 (ASH) (D68) REQUEST TO CONSIDER A TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984 [Item 9]**

The item was presented by the Senior Countryside Access Manager who advised that following approval from the Local Committee in November a Notice of Intention had been published for the statutory period. The results of the consultation were within the committee report; however, since the report had been published two additional emails of support for the implementation of the Traffic Regulation Order had been received. Three local residents, Terina Notz, Sue Johnson and Danny Jermann, had registered to speak on the item and spoke in support of the recommendation and listed their concerns about the impact of vehicular traffic. Local members Councillor Manning and Council Gimson also supported the officer recommendation.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

The grounds for making an all year round Traffic Regulation Order as outlined had been met, and an Order should be made for Byway Open to All Traffic 521 (Ash) (D68) to prevent damage to the surface and to

facilitate the passage of all other class of traffic on the byway, as shown on Drawing Number 3/1/54/H17 (Annexe 1 of the committee report).

Reason

The committee agreed that the proposal would safeguard the BOAT from further deterioration

**54/12 HIGHWAYS UPDATE AND BUDGET ALLOCATION FOR 2013/14 [Item 10]**

The item was presented by the Area Highways Manager who also tabled an additional paper as Annexe 2. The annexe concerned the condition and maintenance of the setts in Guildford High Street and members were advised there would be a further report to the June committee.

Members noted that the plans for major schemes proposed for Pirbright and Shere warranted the retention a £123,000 reserve in the budget. It was further noted that since the committee report had been published costs for the Albury bus stop had risen to £16,000.

There was support for the planned pedestrian survey and consultation with key stakeholders regarding the safety of pedestrians using Salt Box Road and Whitmoor Common.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to note progress on the 2012/13 programme of Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS, or improvement schemes), Local Re-surfacing Schemes, and S106 Schemes;
- ii. that £209,000 is allocated to the ITS or improvement schemes listed in the committee report;
- iii. to authorise the introduction of a 40mph speed limit on Queens Road, Bisley (currently 60mph) between the borough boundary and the existing 40mph limit to the south;
- iv. to delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman and locally affected members to amend budgets throughout the year if required to ensure the budget is allocated in a timely manner;
- v. to extend the remit of the Transportation Task Group to constitute up until the first Local Committee of the municipal year;
- vi. to delegate the ability to appoint Members to the Task Group to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Local Committee in order to replace any

members who are no longer Councillors as a result of the local elections until the first Local Committee of the municipal year; and

- vii. to note the content of ANNEXE 2 of the committee report (tabled)

Reason

The committee agreed that the proposals met the needs of the local community and were the best use of the Highways budget.

**55/12 LOCALISM IN HIGHWAYS : AN UPDATE ON DEVOLVED HIGHWAYS DELIVERY [Item 11]**

The item was presented by the Area Highways Manager and the Highways Localism officer who explained the thrust of the initiative was to involve local communities in the delivery of Highways services in their neighbourhood. It was explained that the offer could be extended to community groups such other than parish councils in the longer term and that the qualifying, legal and constitutional requirements were being looked into. The members were advised they could transfer additional funds from their Highways budget to the initiative if they desired. There would be 6-monthly reporting to committee to provide updates on the progress of individual agreements whilst the budget allocation would be discussed by committee annually. The members were in support of the initiative and hoped it would build long-term working relationships with local partner organisations. To this end they proposed a reworded officer recommendation to reflect their endorsement.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. The Highways Localism initiatives as set out in Annexe 1 of the committee report be approved and be funded through the committee's Highways budget set aside for the Lengthsman Scheme as set out in Item 10 of the agenda; and
- ii. The Local Committee would take into account the interest shown by other groups in Annexe 1 of the committee report and that interest could be explored later in the financial year 2013/14.

Reason

The committee agreed that working with parish and town councils and other community organisations was a positive means to establish locally-managed highways service delivery.

**56/12 PIRBRIGHT BENDS SPEED LIMIT CHANGES [Item 12]**

The item was presented by the Road Safety Team Leader who explained that the findings of the Surrey County Council Road Safety Working Group had identified the stretch of the B3012 as having a very

poor accident record. The report proposed implementing suitable speed limits in order that drivers would more safely negotiate the roads. Councillor Moseley said that work should also be undertaken to improve driver behaviour. Councillor Witham asked if the approach described in the committee report could be applied to the entire stretch of Gole Road and this was confirmed.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to note the results of the safety investigations
- ii. that, the speed limits should be changed as follows:-

Travelling westwards,

- a) to 40mph (from 60mph) from existing 60mph terminal sign in B3012 Gole Road to a point in B3012 Gapemouth Road, approximately 200m east of the railway bridge.
- b) to 30mph (from 60mph) from this point, continuing under the railway bridge along B3012 Gapemouth Road for approximately 600m
- c) to 40mph (from 60mph) from this point along B3012 Gapemouth Road / Guildford Road to the 30mph limit terminal. The western section of this route is in Surrey Heath.

Also, travelling westwards,

- d) to 30mph (from 60mph) from the railway bridge, along D46 Mytchett Place Road for approximately 1500m.
- e) to 40mph (from 60mph) from this point to the existing 30mph terminal sign, just south of Mytchett Lake Road. The western section of this route is in Surrey Heath.

The proposed new speed limits are shown in ANNEXE 1 of the committee report.

- iii. to authorise the advertisement of a notice in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, the effects of which will be to implement the proposed speed limit changes and revoke any existing traffic orders necessary to implement the changes, and subject to no objections being upheld, the Order be made.
- iv. to authorise delegation of authority to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee and the local Divisional Member to resolve any objections received in connection with the proposals.

### Reason

The committee agreed that the proposals reflected a full assessment under the County Council's speed policy and addressed all safety concerns.

### **57/12 TRAVEL SMART LOCAL SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT FUND UPDATE AND PROGRAMME 2013-14 [Item 13]**

The item was presented by the Transport Projects Team Manager. The committee paper described progress to date for the schemes agreed for the 2012/13 financial year and laid out proposed schemes for the next financial year as endorsed by the Transportation Task Group. It was explained that the Department for Transport had originally required that the LSTF funding focus on the challenging congestion in the town centre. However, the Local Committee had drawn the view of the work outward to consider the importance of the rural areas to the town centre. It was noted that there was a LSTF fund available to increase the number of places where bicycles could be securely locked in the town centre. Councillor Searle said the community engagement work undertaken in Stoke had been well received.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to note progress with the delivery of the LSTF schemes in Guildford;
- ii. the 2013/14 LSTF scheme programme as proposed in ANNEXE B of the committee report; and
- iii. to delegate amendments to the LSTF Programme to the Local Committee Chairman and Chair of the LSTF Delivery Group in consultation with the appropriate county and borough officers and members

### Reason

The committee agreed the 2013/14 programme and delegation would assist in meeting the objectives of the LSTF to encourage the use of sustainable transport modes, support the economy, local businesses and local communities.

### **58/12 SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE LOCAL PREVENTION COMMISSIONING 2013-15 [Item 14]**

The Youth Services Contract Performance Officer presented the item. It was explained that the committee paper laid out the next steps in the procurement process supporting the reduction of NEET (Not in Employment, Education or Training) young people in Guildford. There would be a change to the procurement process moving from a contract to a grant award. The purpose of this change was to enable smaller local organisations to participate in the bidding by making the process more manageable. There would be a local event to explain the new



process to local bidders. A proportion of the budget would be set aside to support individuals to purchase one-off items eg chef whites or shoes which would enable them to participate in training or employment. Those bidders successful in the procurement process would be required to work with local partners and agencies to identify young people at risk of becoming NEET. Councillor Searle asked if the funding could be spread more evenly to support those partners contributing to that work.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to approve the allocation of £24,000 from the Local Prevention Framework funding for Individual Prevention Grants; and
- ii. to approve the Local Needs Specification at ANNEXE A of the committee report agreeing that it be considered by providers focusing on the identified needs for Guildford and the geographical neighbourhoods as prioritised by the Youth Task Group.

Reason

The committee agreed the sum allocated to Individual Prevention was fair and proportionate and that the specification reflected local need.

**59/12 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN UPDATE [Item 15]**

The Area Manager for the Implementation of the Public Service Plan presented the item. The committee paper reported on the work undertaken towards realising the PSP over the past two years and outlined the work for the next three years to 2016. The meeting heard that Gomshall would be retained but with the reformed style of service. This would include the use of 4-wheel drive vehicles, defibrillators and increased partnership working with the ambulance service and local Police services. The work on the new fire station in Guildford was progressing. It was explained that because of the computer systems installed in modern fire engines it would be the most local fire engine that would respond to an emergency regardless of where the fire station was located. The reform of Wholetime Duty meant conforming to the national conditions of service. The number of firefighters was reducing in Elmbridge and Spelthorne and the service would be adjusting to this change. The future for the service included an increase in the number of volunteers, encouraging private sponsorship and income generation.

The Local Committee (Guildford) took note of note the progress to date on items in the Action Plan for 2011-13 and the proposed Action Plan for 2013-16

**60/12 FORWARD PROGRAMME [Item 16]**

The Local Committee (Guildford) took note of the Forward Programme for 2013/14.

Meeting ended at: 9.40 pm

---

**Chairman**



## Surrey County Council Local Committee (Guildford) 13 March 2013

### Open Forum

The informal Open Forum preceded start of the formal meeting. Questions and answers are noted but **do not** form part of the formal minutes

1. Local resident Danny Jermann said the road at the bottom of Drovers Way was in bad condition. The Area Highways Manager would investigate.
2. Bill Burkett from Shalford Parish Council was pleased to note the proposal for a crossing on Kings Road was recommended for approval at the meeting.
3. Guildford resident Nils Christianson asked three questions about the number of grit bins in his area; the speed of traffic in Wodeland Road and Farnham Road and the parking and litter near the fast food outlets in Woodbridge Road. Councillor Goodwin noted the comments and said he had funded nine new grit bins in his division during the course of the year. There was a measure in the Highways paper to address the issues in Wodeland Avenue. Officers noted the comment about litter which would be passed back to the Environmental Health team.
4. Jason Butcher asked if it was necessary to close BOAT 521. The matter would be addressed in the agenda item.
5. Onslow Village resident Matt Wittingson asked why parking measures might be implemented on residential roads on a Saturday. The Parking Team explained that this was the preference of the majority of those who had responded to the consultation.  
Mr Wittingson added that there was a pothole on Bridge Street and asked if there was value for money in patching a pothole. The Area Highways Manager explained that sometimes a quick fix was implemented with a long term repair scheduled for later when it was more cost effective. He encouraged everyone to report potholes via the county council website.
6. Onslow Village resident Peter Walker observed that there had been a lack of coordination between the resurfacing of the road and line painting. It was acknowledged this can happen on occasion, but usually partners delivering these services work in close communication.

This page is intentionally left blank



**Surrey County Council Local Committee (Guildford) 13 March 2013**

**Petitions [Item 4]**

<b>Principal petitioner/organisation</b>	Mr James Dennis, resident of Gole Road. Attracting 73 signatories (including 54 online)
<b>SCC Division / GBC Ward</b>	Worplesdon
<b>Summary of concerns and requests</b>	<p><b>Gole Road, Pirbright Speed Issue</b></p> <p>Formal residents petition for Surrey County Council to reduce the speed limit to 30mph and introduce traffic calming measures in order to stop the daily issue of extreme excess speed, dangerous overtaking and excess noise of motor vehicle activity, which is a significant danger and major noise nuisance to residents of the road.</p>
<b>Response</b>	<p>The Committee would like to thank Mr Dennis for presenting the petition on behalf of the local residents of Gole Road.</p> <p>There are several roads in the Guildford area that are on the Speed Management Plan (SMP) list. SCC Highways officers have requested the Road Safety Team add Gole Road, from its junctions with Vapery Lane and Dawney Hill, to the list. SCC Highways develop the SMP list in partnership with the police. The police will carry out a Speed Detection Radar (SRD) survey.</p> <p>In 2005 a feasibility study for the Pirbright Village safety scheme phase 1 was carried out and the scheme was subsequently completed.</p> <p>In the present financial year, 2012/13, the SCC design team is completing the phase 2 Pirbright Village safety scheme and it is anticipated that the study should be completed by the end of this financial year. The construction of the phase 2 Pirbright Village safety scheme is scheduled to be carried out in the next financial year, 2013/14.</p>

TABLED ITEM

	As a result of the residents' petition presented to the SCC Local Committee expressing concerns regarding speeding motorists, particularly in the residential section of Gole Road, the SCC design team will incorporate this request in phase 2 of the Pirbright Village safety scheme study.
--	--

TABLED



**Surrey County Council Local Committee (Guildford) 13 March 2013**

**Written public questions [Item 5]**

**1. Submitted by: PETER HATTERSLEY, WEST HORSLEY RESIDENT.**

Please could the Local Committee update on what progress has been made towards implementing an agreed 40mph speed limit on the A246 between Effingham and the East Horsley Parish boundary; and would the committee agree to extend the 40mph limit to include West Horsley?

In follow up, if a decision has been made by the Local Committee to change a local speed limit, under what constitutional arrangements could this decision not be implemented as has recently been the case in Elmbridge where the Surrey County Councillor holding the portfolio reportedly reversed the decision and refused to progress the speed reduction?

**Answer**

Work on installing reduced speed limits on the A246 through Effingham to East Horsley is underway and will be completed by the end of March.

Surrey County Council's Speed Policy (November 2010) includes the following provision:-

"If the Local Committee OR Cabinet Member considers that a proposed lower speed limit would not reduce average speeds sufficiently, then either: (i) Retain the existing higher speed limit in order to manage speeds at a realistic level or; (ii) Implement other speed management measures to achieve speeds closer to the preferred limit, and then introduce the lower limit."

**2. Submitted by: ROBERT SHATWELL, WESTFIELD RESIDENT**

I wrote to the county council on 5 Oct 2011 regarding the footpaths spanning the River Wey on Footpath 52 between Send and Fishers Farm, Woking. Since then I have to report a serious deterioration in the condition of the bridges which are still being used by pedestrians.

Why has Surrey County Council not carried out its duty of care to the safe passage of pedestrians using footpath 52, and when will this be carried out?

TABLED

**Answer**

**Public Footpath 52 Send**

This footpath has been legally closed to safeguard the public. This is due to the unsafe condition of the bridge at the eastern end of the footpath known as Fishers Farm b. The western bridge, known as Fishers Farm straddles the River Wey, the district boundary, and so half of this bridge is on public footpath 45b in the borough of Woking. Fishers Farm bridge was last inspected by Structures on 7.12.12 and is not considered unsafe for public use.

The closure on FP 52 removes the public right on foot to use the bridge, however we cannot remove any private rights that may exist. We are aware that the owner of the bridge continues to use it with vehicles on a daily basis. For this reason it has not been possible to block off the bridge, although there are notices on site informing the public that the bridge is closed.

We have taken legal advice on the matter of responsibility and counsel's opinion is that Surrey County Council does not have a responsibility to maintain the present bridge on site. We are sorry that the closure of this public footpath is an inconvenience as there is no easily accessible alternative route, however it is important that we explore all options as there is no quick or inexpensive solution.

The bridge in question does not belong to SCC and we have no right to remove it and replace it with a footbridge. We have explored the option of placing a bridge adjacent to the present bridge. There is significant cost in this and it may require the public footpath to be legally diverted on to a new route. The public can object to this process and so is not a certainty.

The owner has said that they are taking advice on replacing the bridge and we are currently waiting for this information from them.

**3. Submitted by: GAYNOR WHITE, CLERK TO WORPLESDON PARISH COUNCIL**

Years ago Surrey County Council used to regularly flail alongside Salt Box Road creating a narrow footpath which residents could utilise. For 4 or 5 years this did not happen. At our request, some flailing was carried out in 2012, however, various obstacles were left on the ground which meant that pedestrians still had to walk in the road which is extremely dangerous. May we take this opportunity to request that regular flailing is again carried out to at least enable residents to walk on common land rather than in the narrow road?

Will the Local Area Committee reconsider the proposal to install traffic lights at the



## TABLED

railway bridge (bridleway 434) and give consideration to providing safe crossing points where the following footpaths cross Salt Box Road: Footpath 437, Footpath 444, Footpath 442, Footpath 441.

### **Answer**

Salt Box Road runs through Whitmore Common which is managed by Surrey Wildlife Trust and SCC Highways will discuss how best to maintain passable verges immediately alongside the road with the Trust.

The committee will recall the response to a petition requesting that '...Surrey County Council introduce a safe crossing point next to the railway bridge on Salt Box Road, Guildford.' was discussed at the 13 June 2012 LC meeting. This response explained that a traffic signal controlled crossing would have a significant impact on traffic flows in Salt Box Road leading to increased congestion, journey times and could result in traffic diverting to other roads in the area leading SCC officers to recommend against such a scheme. It should be noted that the petition requested a safer crossing point, and did not mention traffic signals.

At the LC meeting of 12 September, the Area Highways Manager reported he had asked the Casualty Reduction Group (CRG) for Guildford to review accidents near the bridge, carry out a site inspection and make recommendations. The CRG have visited the site though their final report is awaited, and recommendations will be shared with the petitioners and local members who sit on this committee.

The Highways Update item on today's agenda includes the Guildford Task Group recommendation to allocate £20,000 from the 2013/14 budget towards improving safety for pedestrians crossing Salt Box Road at the railway bridge. This will fund minor works such as warning signs and footways to a crossing point away from the bridge and could be used to address the other crossing points listed in the question. A traffic signal controlled crossing would be in the order of £150,000.

This page is intentionally left blank

TABLED



**Surrey County Council Local Committee (Guildford) 13 March 2013**

**Written member questions [Item 6]**

**1. Submitted by: COUNCILLOR BOB MCSHEE**

As John Furey, SCC lead member for Transport and Environment, recently stated “good transport links are vital to economic recovery and nothing is off the table”, I would like to propose that a direct train service is provided between Guildford and Farnham, to take cars off the busy A31. The rail lines already exist, so I ask that Surrey Rail strategy investigate this proposal.

**Answer**

Councillor McShee has highlighted the work currently underway to produce a new rail strategy for Surrey. Efficient railways are vital to economic growth in Surrey and the rail strategy needs to align with the county council’s aim to grow the Surrey economy, along with Surrey Connects’ drive for smart economic growth.

The current rail strategy work provides an opportunity to review the County Council’s position on railway services, inform future franchise consultations and address the current gap in our Local Transport Plan policy. The outcome of the work will be a strategic document that will form a part of Surrey Future. Surrey Future will bring together Surrey’s local authorities and business leaders to agree the investment priorities to support the county’s economy over the next few decades and establish a list of long-term infrastructure priorities.

The rail strategy work will be used to set out evidence based and realistic areas for strategic investment that the County Council, working with partners, can plan and deliver. The work will support investment decisions arguing that a safe, efficient and easily accessible rail network will help to deliver economic priorities for Surrey, in particular the creation of new jobs.

Engagement with all Surrey district and borough councils has taken place as part of the County Council’s development work. The aim is to have a strategy that reflects borough council aspirations for changes to local provision. Engagement has also taken place with key stakeholders, including the Department for Transport, Transport for London, Network Rail, train operating companies and so on. Access to Guildford has specifically been included as part of the options development work. The proposal to operate 2 trains per hour between Alton and Guildford (direct with no

## TABLED

interchange) is one option that is likely to feature in the medium term opportunities section (2015-2019), noting that the final options report is almost complete. This report will be subject to public consultation from the end of March for a period of 12 weeks, with the final strategy to be completed and agreed in July.



**OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE  
(GUILDFORD)**

**MEMBERS' ALLOCATIONS FUNDING**

**13 MARCH 2013**

**The Local Committee (Guildford) is asked to:**

- (i) Agree the items presented for funding from the Local Committee's 2012/13 **revenue** and **capital** funding as set out in paragraph 2 of the report, **including the bid set out in this tabled document** (Bids 2.1 – 2.18 in total)
- (ii) Note the expenditure approved since the last Committee by the Community Partnerships Manager and the Community Partnerships Team Leader under delegated powers, as set out in paragraph 3 (including the additional bids identified in the tabled item).
- (iii) Note the return of funding to the Members' Allocation budget from projects previously agreed, as detailed in paragraph 4.

**ADDITIONAL BID SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL – REVENUE FUNDING**

**2.18 Shere CofE Infant School – Outdoor Learning Area  
(Keith Taylor)**

Project Cost            £6,500

Amount Requested    £1,190 revenue

Project Description:    Funding has been requested towards a shelter or fencing for an Outdoor Learning Area at the School.

**3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY APPROVED BIDS**

- 3.1 The Community Partnerships Manager or Community Partnerships Team Leader (West Surrey) has approved the following bids from the 2012/13 Local Committee budget under delegated authority since the last committee meeting.

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE (GUILDFORD)  
ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATION ITEM 7 (TABLED)

**David Goodwin**

- Onslow Infant School – Steps to Environmental Area (£990 Revenue)
- Discovery Centre – Computer, Printer and Cartridges (£800 Revenue)

**Keith Taylor**

- St Bede's CofE Junior School – Outdoor Musical Structure (£1,000 Revenue)
- Send CofE First School – Play Shelter (£1,000 Revenue)
- Tillingbourne School – Display Cabinet (£1,000 Revenue)

**Guildford Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013**

		REVENUE	CAPITAL
<b>Bill Barker</b>	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213097 Improvements To Ripley Green	£1,000.00	
	GFD1213078 D262 Chalk Lane Flood Alleviation Scheme	£7,654.00	
	GFD1213107 Ockham Weight Restrictions - Signage and Advertising	£1,811.00	£3,500.00
	GFD1213109 Peer Prodcutions - Domestic Abuse Event at Howard of Effingham School	£650.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£1,000.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>

		REVENUE	CAPITAL
<b>Mark Brett-Warburton</b>	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213007 Harvey Rd Jubilee Tea Party	£110.00	
	GFD1213008 Maori Rd Jubilee Party	£175.00	
	GFD1213009 Avonmore Ave Jubilee Party	£95.00	
	GFD1213010 Charlotteville Jubilee Party	£600.00	
	GFD1213100 SATRO - Holy Trinity School Event	£500.00	
	GFD1213101 SATRO - Boxgrove School Event	£500.00	
	GFD1213102 Stoke Park - Floral Meadows Landscaping	£750.00	
	GFD1213104 Guildford Scouts - Fire Alarms and Training	£900.00	
	GFD1213105 Plantation Cafe & Discovery Centre - Bitesize Projects	£2,185.00	
	GFD1213106 Girlguiding Guildford Headquarters - replacement flooring in Hall and Kitchen	£900.00	
	GFD1213108 Boxgrove School - CCTV	£4,500.00	£3,500.00
	GFD1213111 Disability Challengers - Easter Youth Activity at High Ashurst	£900.00	
<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	

		REVENUE	CAPITAL
<b>Graham Ellwood</b>	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213002 Home Start Guildford New Year Party	£900.00	
	GFD1213006 George Abbot School - All Weather Pitch	£1,500.00	£3,500.00
	GFD1213022 Bushy Hill Community Centre Cohesion Event	£950.00	
	GFD1213025 Burpham Neighbourhood Planning Administration	£500.00	
	GFD1112295 Burpham CA VAS training	-£350.00	
	GFD1213066 Merrow Residents Association noticeboard	£950.00	
	GFD201071/72 Merrow Residents Association projects underspend	-£1,146.00	
	GFD1213079 Guildford BC Burpham Oak Tree	£1,000.00	
	GFD1213082 Guildford BC Burpham Oak Tree application 2	£5,000.00	
	GFD1213090 St Peter's Secondary School - Theatre Lighting	£3,311.00	
<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	

**Guildford Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013**

	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>CAPITAL</b>
<b>Simon Gimson</b>		<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213014 Puttenham Parish Council Skateboard Park		£1,500.00
	GFD201057 Shalford PC VAS installation - funding not required	-£500.00	
	GFD1213016 Compton PC Withies Pond clearance	£500.00	
	GFD1213031 Tongham Community Centre changing rooms improvements		£950.00
	GFD1213027 Seale & Sands PC bench at War Memorial	£2,200.00	
	GFD1213067 Shackleford Village Hall	£1,450.00	£1,050.00
	GFD1213068 Shalford PC village signs	£1,750.00	
	GFD1213070 Compton Parish Plan Administration	£500.00	
	GFD1213071 Wanborough PC tree planting	£286.00	
	GFD1213073 Shackleford PC replacement of missing road signs	£1,400.00	
	GFD1213074 Tongham PC Sign	£500.00	
	GFD1213075 Tongham PC Seat	£300.00	
	GFD1213091 Artington PC Stakescorner Road, safety & protection posts	£810.00	
	GFD1213108 Tongham Community Entry Sign	£950.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£1,969.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>

Page 24

	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>CAPITAL</b>
<b>David Goodwin</b>		<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213011 Insight Art	£2,000.00	
	GFD1213021 Guildford BC Bollarding at the Mount	£500.00	£3,500.00
	GFD1213033 St Nicolas Infant School outdoor learning area	£4,000.00	
	GFD1213034 5th Guildford Scout group	£2,490.00	
	GFD1213052 G4 Residents Association Shaftesbury Hall refurbishment	£1,300.00	
	GFD1213117 Discovery Centre - Computer, Printer and Printer Cartridges	£825.00	
	GFD1213118 Onslow Infant School - Steps to Environmental Area	£990.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£10.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>

	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>CAPITAL</b>
<b>Marsha Molesey</b>		<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213019 Ash Youth Centre summer & half term projects with young people	£1,840.00	
	GFD1213030 Snaky Lane Sculpture		£3,000.00
	GFD1213076 1st Ash Vale scouts anniversary mugs	£586.00	
	GFD1213083 Ash PC Parsons Nose play equipment	£3,500.00	£500.00
	GFD1213095 1st Ash Vale Scouts trailer	£2,500.00	
	GFD1213096 Ash Parish Council CarGo Flatbed Trailer	£1,600.00	
	GFD1213098 Ash & District Local History Museum Equipment	£1,140.00	
	GFD1213103 Snaky Lane Replacement Fencing	£949.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>





**Guildford Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013**

		REVENUE	CAPITAL
Pauline Searle	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213003 Bellfield Green Space Project Time Capsule	£284.00	
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213015 CHIPS Playscheme	£2,000.00	£1,000.00
	GFD1213046 Weyfield School trip	£750.00	
	GFD1213065 2nd Guildford Scouts new hut	£1,500.00	£2,500.00
	GFD1213099 SCC Highways - Reshaping of existing island in Slyfield Green	£6,610.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£971.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>

		REVENUE	CAPITAL
Keith Taylor	<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>	<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
	GFD1213012 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	GFD1213013 Friends of Hurtwood Woodland Activity Days	£710.00	
	GFD1213023 Albury PC Parish Plan	£750.00	
	GFD1213026 Albury PC defibrillator		£1,000.00
	GFD1213043 Chilworth Planter		£700.00
	GFD1213057 SATRO - St Bede's School Event	£500.00	
	GFD1213061 SATRO - Tillingbourne Junior School Event	£500.00	
	GFD1213063 The Guildford Embroidery		£300.00
	GFD1213062 SATRO - George Abbot School Event	£825.00	
	GFD1213069 SCC Highways Service - Grit Bin in Shere	£615.00	£385.00
	GFD1213084 Albury PC - Grit Bins		£600.00
	GFD1213085 Albury PC - Village Hall Cooker & Piano	£224.00	£456.00
	GFD1213087 Shere Infant School - Outdoor Play area	£1,190.00	
	GFD1213088 Clandon C of E School - Artificial Grass	£941.00	£59.00
	GFD1213089 Chilworth C of E School - Astroturf for outside learning area	£1,860.00	
	GFD1213109 GASP Motor Project - Replacement Vehicle	£1,000.00	
	GFD1213114 Send CofE Play Shelter	£1,000.00	
	GFD1213115 St Bedes School - Outdoor Musical Structure	£1,000.00	
GFD1213116 Tillingbourne School - Display Cabinet	£1,000.00		
<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	

**Guildford Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013**

<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>		<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>CAPITAL</b>
<b>Fiona White</b>		<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
GFD1213012	Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
GFD1213015	CHIPS Playscheme	£2,000.00	£1,000.00
GFD1213017	St Francis Church - Ceiling Renovation		£1,990.00
GFD1213037	Spinney CC Empower Programme	£1,200.00	
GFD1213042	Guildford Trim Trail	£3,000.00	
GFD1213044	North Guildford food bank	£950.00	
GFD1213047	Park Barn & Westborough CA noticeboard	£840.00	£510.00
GFD1213055	SATRO Kings College	£825.00	
GFD1213056	SATRO St Josephs	£500.00	
GFD1213058	SCC Highways grit bin Park Barn Drive	£1,000.00	
GFD1213059	SCC Highways grit bin Roundhill Way	£1,000.00	
GFD1213060	GBC Playrangers Forest School equipment	£500.00	
GFD1213081	SurreySave Credit Union Marketing Materials	£300.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>

<b>OPENING BALANCE</b>		<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>CAPITAL</b>
<b>Keith Witham</b>		<b>£12,615.00</b>	<b>£3,500.00</b>
GFD1213012	Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
GFD1112340	Worplesdon PC Little Cricket signs - project under budget	-£22.00	
GFD1112331	Worplesdon PC Telescopic ladder - project under budget	-£44.00	
GFD1213020	Cadence Drum & Bugle Corps brass instrumentation		£500.00
GFD201041	Pirbright School winter maintenance equipment	-£520.00	
GFD1213024	Contribution towards framing of the Guildford embroidery		£500.00
GFD1213032	FLGCA gang mower contribution		£500.00
GFD1213035	Wyke School Normandy safety measures	£2,418.00	£582.00
GFD1213036	Normandy PC Flood Forum	£750.00	
GFD1213038	Normandy Historians	£750.00	
GFD1213039	Normandy Cricket Club lease extension	£1,000.00	
GFD1213040	Liddington Hall litter bin	£450.00	
GFD1213041	Normandy Bowling Club scoreboards		£880.00
GFD1213042	The Rawlins Club Bletchley Park trip transport	£500.00	
GFD1213047	Normandy British Legion PA system		£538.00
GFD1213049	FLGCA Fairlands Festival	£695.00	
GFD1213050	Wyke School classroom blinds	£947.00	
GFD1213051	Normandy Artists	£540.00	
GFD1213053	St Marks Church IT equipment	£750.00	
GFD1213054	Ash CAB Independent Living Adviser Project	£1,000.00	
GFD1213064	The Therapy Garden, Normandy IT equipment	£942.00	
GFD1213077	Grit bin Orchard Close, Normandy	£1,000.00	
GFD1213093	St Albans church, Dishwasher	£500.00	
GFD1213094	Pirbright Parish Council - New Playground Equipment	£459.00	
	<b>BALANCE REMAINING</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>



SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE (GUILDFORD) ITEM 10 ANNEXE 2  
(TABLED)

## **A Maintenance Strategy for Guildford High Street Cobbles**

Guildford's steeply sloping cobbled High Street is perhaps the most iconic road in Surrey, contributing to the charm of a historic country town which attracts thousands of visitors from around the world. The road served as backdrop to the finish of the 2012 Tour of Britain cycle race, as it will again in 2013, and was part of the Olympic torch route.

Areas of cobbles have been re-laid over decades through the length of the road. However, the majority are undisturbed so the overall appearance could be described as uniform with repaired areas discernable to the eye as well as sometimes having a different texture underfoot. Failing areas are evident where the joints between individual stones start to crumble, the cobbles loosen, and the surface drops below the surrounding road profile.

In the last five years both Surrey County Council and Guildford Borough Council have invested several hundred thousand pounds in repairing damaged areas, using differing techniques and with varying degrees of success.

Both authorities are now seeking to develop and agree a maintenance strategy for the High Street so there is a planned and funded programme for future repairs. The key steps in achieving this are:-

- Complete a full condition survey to establish failed/failing areas and residual life
- Identify the optimum repair technique which closest matches existing. This is likely to involve trial areas in the High Street itself.
- Identify funding streams. These will include SCC central maintenance budgets, commitments by the Local Committee, development contributions (environmental) and possibly private contributions.
- Review methods of delivery. SCC's term contractor May Gurney are engaged to undertake all repair work on the public highway and their input will be essential in developing repair techniques and delivering the work.

An update with further detail on this joint initiative will be reported to the June meeting of the Local Committee.

## **OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS**

(vii) The Local Committee (Guildford) is asked to note the content of ANNEXE 2

13 03 2013

This page is intentionally left blank